

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/540,045		JANSSENS ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	EMILY BERNHARDT		1624	

All Participants:

(1) EMILY BERNHARDT.

(2) Ms. Barbosa.

Date of Interview: 23 March 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

17

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Emily Bernhardt/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1624

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In reviewing the amendment made to claim 17 it is noted that formula I' is not recited as being produced in the last step and its formula is also missing from the claim. It was agreed to insert after "(I')" on the last line of p.10 the phrase, "to obtain a compound of Formula (I')" and on the next line to insert the formula for (I'). This will be done via an Examiner's Amendment.